

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/558,846 | 11/30/2005 | Tsuneo Yasuma | 2007_0365 | 1184 | |
| Warren M. Ch | 7590 01/12/200 eek. Jr. | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. Suite 800 2033 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 | | | CHANDRAKU | CHANDRAKUMAR, NIZAL S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1625 | 1625 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/12/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/558,846 | YASUMA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR | 1625 | |

| | NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR | 1625 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi sal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed a date of the final rejection. | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow | hich places the (3) a Request ving time | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • | | | | |
| 7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2-6,12-15 and 18. Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | /D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1625 | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: In a telephonic coversation with Attorney of record Warren Cheek, Examiner indicated that the application is not in condition for allowance because of the presence of the pharmaceutical method of use claims 21-23 which would be rejected under USC 112-1. Because the previous office action is final rejection, this advisory action is being sent. Attorney of record was informed of this on 01/02/2009.